

2010 General NPDES MS4 Permit - Annual Report Review Checklist

Instructions: This checklist is for use when reviewing 2010 General NPDES MS4 Permit Annual Reports for completeness and accuracy. Provide yes/no answers in the comment section, and point out any deficiencies and/or recommendations. If a question is answered with a "no", then identify the action taken (NOI returned, additional information requested etc.), as applicable.

MS4: Lakeland	Permit No.: TNS077526	Report Received: 9-28-16
EFO: MEFO	Reviewer: CMW, TRT	Reporting Period: 2015-2016

Section 1 – MS4 Information	
Item:	Comment:
Is all information provided?	Yes
Has contact information in WaterLog been updated as applicable?	Yes
Section 2 – Water Quality Priorities	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as applicable?	Yes
Section 3 – Protection of State or Federally listed Species	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as applicable?	Yes
Section 4 – Public Education and Public Participation	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as applicable?	Yes
Section 5 – Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as applicable?	Yes. The annual report states that the MS4 had zero illicit discharges last year. Does this mean that zero illicit discharges were reported, or X number of illicit discharges were reported, but no problem was found during the investigation?
Section 6 - Construction Site Stormwater Runoff	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as applicable?	Yes
Section 7 - Permanent Stormwater Controls	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as	Yes. The annual report states that the MS4 inspected 2 permanent stormwater practices/facilities. Were any of these

applicable?	facilities considered green infrastructure, or were all the inspections of detention basins? Has there been installation of green infrastructure in the past year?
Section 8 – Codes and Ordinance Review and Update	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as applicable?	Yes
Section 9 – Stormwater management for Municipal Operations	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as applicable?	Yes
Section 10 - Stormwater Management Program Update	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as applicable?	Yes
Section 11 – Evaluating/Measuring Progress	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as applicable?	Yes. Have the results from the Community Surveys from 2008 to 2011 been compared yet? Is so, what were the results?
Section 12 - Enforcement	
Item:	Comment:
Were all questions answered, and information/summaries provided as applicable?	Yes. The annual report states that 2 Notices of Violations were issued for Illicit Discharges, but Section 5 states that no illicit discharges were discovered/reported. Was one of these a typo? The annual report also states that 3 Stop Works Orders were issued. Were all of these for homebuilder sites, or were any of the SWOs issued for sites greater than one acre? Did the sites gain compliance after the issuance of the Notice of Violation? If so, did the site gain compliance because the contractor installed appropriate erosion control measures or because construction activities were near completion anyway?
Section 13 – Program Resources	
Item:	Comment:
This section is optional	Yes. The annual expenditure for the implementation of the MS4 program for last year is approximately \$80,000 more than the projected budget for next year. Although budget is not necessarily discussed in the permit, we were curious about the discrepancy. Do you foresee any issues with implementing the program in the future? Or is this discrepancy more related to salaries?
Section 14 - Certification	
Item:	Comment:

Are there any co-permittees? If yes, are there signatures for each co-permittee?	No.
Does the person(s) meet the signatory requirements?	Yes.
Additional Comments:	
Emailed on 12-2-16. Responses received on 12-16-16.	